

CITY OF VANCOUVER
REGULAR COUNCIL MEETING

A Regular Meeting of the Council of the City of Vancouver was held on Tuesday, October 26, 1976, in the Council Chamber, third floor, City Hall, commencing at 2:00 p.m.

Mayor Phillips

PRESENT: Aldermen Bird, Boyce, Cowie, Harcourt (3:00 p.m.),
Kennedy, Marzari, Rankin,
Sweeney and Volrich

CLERK TO THE COUNCIL: M. Kinsella

PRAYER

The proceedings in the Council Chamber were opened with prayer.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The Mayor acknowledged the presence in the Council Chamber of Grade 7 students from Van Horne Elementary School, Vancouver, under the direction of their teacher, Mrs. Audrey Bradley.

'IN CAMERA' MEETING

The Council was advised that there were matters to be considered 'In Camera' later this day.

ADOPTION OF MINUTES

MOVED by Ald. Rankin,

SECONDED by Ald. Cowie,

THAT the Minutes of the Regular Council Meeting of October 19, 1976, (with the exception of the 'In Camera' portion), be adopted after amendment to show Alderman Cowie as opposed to Alderman Bird's motion on page 2 with respect to the mini-park on Vine Street at 7th Avenue;

FURTHER THAT the Minutes of the Special Council Meeting (Public Hearing) of October 19, 1976, be adopted.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

MOVED by Ald. Bird,

SECONDED by Ald. Sweeney,

THAT this Council resolve itself into Committee of the Whole, Mayor Phillips in the Chair.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

UNFINISHED BUSINESS & DELEGATIONS

Extension of 41 Forty-First Bus Service
from Joyce Loop to Burnaby via Kingsway

At its meeting on October 19, 1976, Council deferred consideration of the Manager's report dated October 14, 1976, on the above subject, pending the hearing of delegations. In the Manager's report of October 14th, the City Engineer recommended that:

"A. The proposed 41 Forty-first transit route extension from Joyce Loop to Burnaby via Kingsway be approved.

B. Bus stops be approved for the following locations:

- i) north side Kingsway at Lincoln
- ii) north side Kingsway at Stamford
- iii) north side Kingsway at Melbourne
- iv) south side Kingsway at Lincoln
- v) south side 41st at Joyce
- vi) west side Joyce at Kingsway."

cont'd....

Regular Council, October 26, 1976 2

UNFINISHED BUSINESS & DELEGATIONS (cont'd)

Extension of 41 Forty-First Bus Service
from Joyce Loop to Burnaby via Kingsway
(cont'd)

Council heard the following delegations:

- Mrs. Betty-Anne Fenwick, Killarney/Champlain Citizens for Action, stated that transit changes should be made to encourage people to use the bus service and that these proposed changes would have the effect of discouraging use because of transferring difficulties. She also expressed concern about the relocation of the Rupert bus stop from the Joyce Loop to Joyce Street. These bus stops will not have shelters and, therefore, people will be exposed to inclement weather while waiting for the bus.
- Mr. Elgin Ruddell, Chairman, Conference of Local Area Councils Traffic and Transit Committee, addressed Council and filed a brief putting forward the following recommendations:
 - (1) withhold approval for changes proposed by B.C. Hydro
 - (2) instruct the City Engineer to hold public meetings to hear B.C. Hydro evidence and counter proposals
 - (3) Council can then make substantive decisions.

Mr. Ruddell also filed a petition from a number of citizens opposing the transit changes.

- Mr. Sol Jackson, COPE, questioned how B.C. Hydro could proceed with the transit changes and relocation of bus stops prior to approval of Council to these changes. He referred to a transportation bulletin issued by B.C. Hydro on October 25, 1976, advising citizens of the proposed changes.

MOVED by Ald. Rankin,

THAT Council defer consideration of the Engineer's recommendations and, in the meantime, a public meeting be held as soon as possible to permit B.C. Hydro and the City Engineer to present their positions on this matter and provide an opportunity for citizens to respond.

- LOST

(Aldermen Bird, Kennedy, Sweeney, Volrich and the Mayor opposed)

MOVED by Ald. Cowie,

THAT the recommendations of the City Engineer contained in the Manager's report dated October 14, 1976, be approved, subject to bus shelters being installed at the two bus stops in the vicinity of the bus loop on Joyce Street.

- CARRIED

(Aldermen Boyce, Marzari and Rankin opposed)

MOVED by Ald. Cowie,

THAT Council hold a public meeting after November 17, 1976, to discuss with B.C. Hydro, the City Engineer and concerned citizens, all aspects of the proposed extension of the Forty-first bus service from Joyce Loop to Burnaby via Kingsway.

- CARRIED

(Aldermen Kennedy and Sweeney opposed)

cont'd....

Regular Council, October 26, 1976 3

UNFINISHED BUSINESS & DELEGATIONS (cont'd)

Extension of 41 Forty-First Bus Service
from Joyce Loop to Burnaby via Kingsway
(cont'd)

MOVED by Ald. Volrich,

THAT, in the future, the City Engineer advise Council of any proposed transit changes at least two months prior to the date of implementation.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED by Ald. Rankin,

THAT the Mayor designate a suitable committee to consider all proposed changes to transit services in the City.

- CARRIED

(Aldermen Bird, Kennedy and Sweeney opposed)

COMMUNICATIONS OR PETITIONS

1. Proposed Development of Hastings
Street East Collective Parking
Lot by Mr. Joseph Funaro

Council noted a letter from Mr. Jonathan Baker, Solicitor for Mr. Joseph Funaro, requesting to appear this day to discuss with Council the method of appeal of the existing Local Improvement By-law on this parking lot. It was agreed to hear representation from Mr. Baker.

Mr. Baker reviewed the history of this matter. In 1954, Council passed a Local Improvement By-law to permit the development of a collective parking lot in the 2500 Block Franklin Street. At the same time, Council also passed a Debenture By-law to pay for this proposed parking lot.

City Officials have indicated that to repeal this By-law would require consent of 100% of the participants in the collective parking lot. Mr. Baker asked Council to give an expression of intent that should a petition of 2/3rds of the owners representing 50% of the value of the parking lot be received, it would repeal the By-law.

In response to a question from Council, the Director of Planning advised that he has not received a satisfactory development proposal from Mr. Funaro.

MOVED by Ald. Volrich,

THAT, subject to an approved plan of development being received, the Director of Legal Services be instructed to bring forward an appropriate motion to repeal the Local Improvement By-law, and that he prepare a 'save harmless' agreement between the property owners and the City;

FURTHER THAT the affected property owners be advised when this By-law will be considered to provide them an opportunity to appear before Council at that time.

- CARRIED

(Aldermen Kennedy and Sweeney opposed)

- - - - -

During consideration of the foregoing item, Alderman Harcourt joined the meeting.

- - - - -

Regular Council, October 26, 1976 4

UNFINISHED BUSINESS & DELEGATIONS (cont'd)

Civic Grant Request - Canadian Scientific
Pollution & Environmental Control Society
(SPEC)

Council on October 19, 1976, when considering the above clause from the report of the Community Services Committee dated October 7, 1976, deferred consideration to the next meeting of Council at which all members are present.

All members being present, it was

MOVED by Ald. Rankin,

THAT Council approve a grant of \$3,000.00 to the Canadian Scientific Pollution & Environmental Control Society (SPEC) to cover part-time salaries and operating expenses for the months of October and November, 1976.

- CARRIED BY THE
REQUIRED MAJORITY

(Aldermen Bird, Kennedy and Sweeney opposed)

CITY MANAGER'S AND OTHER REPORTS

A. MANAGER'S GENERAL REPORT
OCTOBER 22, 1976

Works & Utility Matters
(October 22, 1976)

The Council considered this report which contains two clauses identified as follows:

- Cl. 1: Watermain Installations - 1976 Capital Budget
- Cl. 2: Lease of Portion of Lane South of McGill East
from Penticton Street

Clauses 1 and 2

MOVED by Ald. Volrich,

THAT the recommendations of the City Manager contained in Clauses 1 and 2 be approved.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Social, Service & Health Matters
(October 22, 1976)

Canadian Telephone Survey of Febrile
Respiratory Disease (Clause 1)

MOVED by Ald. Boyce,

THAT the recommendation of the City Manager contained in this Clause be approved.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Building & Planning Matters
(October 22, 1976)

The Council considered this report which contains five clauses identified as follows:

- Cl. 1: D.P.A. - 1435 East 14th Avenue - Apartment
Building for Coast Foundation Society
- Cl. 2: D.P.A. - 4350 Valley Drive
- Cl. 3: Heritage Building Alterations - Marine Building
- Cl. 4: Possible Order to Demolish - 821 Drake Street
- Cl. 5: Text Amendment to CD-1 By-law 4918 - Enclave I,
Champlain Heights

cont'd....

Regular Council, October 26, 1976 5

CITY MANAGER'S AND OTHER REPORTS (cont'd)

Building & Planning Matters
(October 22, 1976) (cont'd)

D/P.A. - 1435 East 14th Avenue -
Apartment Building for Coast Foundation
Society (Clause 1)

Prior to consideration of this Clause by Council, the Director of Planning advised that residents in the area have not had an opportunity to submit delegation requests to Council on this matter. He suggested that Council may wish to defer consideration of this clause to the next meeting of Council to permit representations from the residents.

MOVED by Ald. Rankin,

THAT consideration of this clause be deferred to the next meeting of Council at which time Council hear representations from interested residents. In the meantime, copies of this clause of the Manager's report dated October 22, 1976, be distributed to residents through the Cedar Cottage Planning Office.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

D.P.A. - 4350 Valley Drive
(Clause 2)

MOVED by Ald. Bird,

THAT the recommendation of the City Manager contained in this Clause be approved.

(Deferred)

MOVED by Ald. Sweeney,

THAT the foregoing motion by Alderman Bird be deferred pending renotification of neighbouring property owners and to allow an opportunity for delegations to be heard.

- LOST

(Aldermen Bird, Boyce, Cowie, Kennedy and Rankin opposed)

A tie vote having resulted, the motion by Alderman Sweeney was declared LOST.

MOVED by Ald. Rankin,

THAT the motion to approve the recommendation of the City Manager be deferred to the next meeting of Council to permit delegations to be heard.

- CARRIED

(Aldermen Cowie and Kennedy opposed)

Heritage Building Alterations -
Marine Building (Clause 3)

MOVED by Ald. Volrich,

THAT the recommendation of the City Manager contained in this Clause be approved.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Possible Order to Demolish - 821
Drake Street (Clause 4)

In considering this Clause, Council noted letters dated October 21, 1976, and October 23, 1976, from Mrs. Shillabeer on this matter. Council also noted a delegation request from Mrs. Shillabeer. As Mrs. Shillabeer was present in the Chamber, it was agreed to hear representation from her this day.

Mrs. Shillabeer addressed Council and referred to the contents of her letters to Council. She stated that renovations are under way on this property.

cont'd....

Regular Council, October 26, 1976 6

CITY MANAGER'S AND OTHER REPORTS (cont'd)

Building & Planning Matters
(October 22, 1976) (cont'd)

Possible Order to Demolish - 821
Drake Street (Clause 4) (cont'd)

MOVED by Ald. Bird,

THAT further consideration of this matter be deferred for one month to permit Mrs. Shillabeer to proceed with renovations to 821 Drake Street;

FURTHER THAT the Director of Permits and Licenses report back to Council at the end of the deferral period.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Text Amendment to CD-1 By-law 4918
Enclave I, Champlain Heights (Clause 5)

MOVED by Ald. Rankin,

THAT the recommendation of the City Manager contained in this Clause be approved.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Finance Matters
(October 22, 1976)

The Council considered this report which contains three clauses identified as follows:

- Cl. 1: Q.E.T. Reorganization
- Cl. 2: North Fraser Harbour Commission - 1975
Audited Financial Statements
- Cl. 3: Tenders for City Pound

Q.E.T. Reorganization
(Clause 1)

MOVED by Ald. Bird,

THAT the recommendation of the City Manager contained in this Clause be approved.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Clauses 2 and 3

MOVED by Ald. Volrich,

THAT Clause 2 be received for information and the recommendation of the City Manager contained in Clause 3 be approved.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Property Matters
(October 22, 1976)

The Council considered this report which contains two clauses identified as follows:

- Cl. 1: Demolition - 1220 Raymur Avenue
- Cl. 2: Burrard Street Widening - 1800-1808 West 5th

Clauses 1 and 2

MOVED by Ald. Volrich,

THAT Clause 1 be received for information and the recommendation of the City Manager contained in Clause 2 be approved.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Regular Council, October 26, 1976 7

CITY MANAGER'S AND OTHER REPORTS (cont'd)

B. Family Housing Proposal Calls
Apartment Form

The Mayor advised that he will be meeting with Mr. Teron of C.M.H.C. in Ottawa next week and he would be prepared to discuss possible development of these sites for co-op housing.

MOVED by Ald. Rankin,

THAT consideration of the Manager's report dated October 25, 1976, on Family Housing Proposal Calls - Apartment Form, be deferred to the next meeting of Council to permit the Mayor an opportunity of discussing with Mr. Teron, C.M.H.C., development for co-op housing of the sites referred to in the report.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

I. Part Report of Standing Committee
on Community Services,
October 21, 1976

Cordova House
(Clause 1)

MOVED by Ald. Rankin,

THAT recommendations A to H of the Committee contained in this Clause be approved, after adding the following words to recommendations A and B:

"subject to satisfactory funding from the senior levels
of government."

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

G.V.R.D. Matters

There were no comments with respect to G.V.R.D. matters insofar as the Regional District meeting for October 27, 1976, is concerned.

COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

MOVED by Ald. Rankin,

THAT the Committee of the Whole rise and report.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED by Ald. Rankin,

SECONDED by Ald. Boyce,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

BY-LAWS

1. BY-LAW TO AMEND BY-LAW NO. 4674,
BEING A ZONING BY-LAW (Personal
Care - Crofton Manor)

MOVED by Ald. Bird,

SECONDED by Ald. Kennedy,

THAT the By-law be introduced and read a first time.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

The By-law was read a first time and the Presiding Officer declared the By-law open for discussion and amendments.

There being no amendments, it was

cont'd....

BY-LAWS (cont'd)

BY-LAW TO AMEND BY-LAW NO. 4674,
BEING A ZONING BY-LAW (Personal
Care - Crofton Manor) (cont'd)

MOVED by Ald. Bird,
SECONDED by Ald. Kennedy,
THAT the By-law be given second and third readings and the
Mayor and City Clerk be authorized to sign and seal the By-law.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(Alderman Rankin was excused from voting on this By-law)

2. BY-LAW TO AMEND BY-LAW NO. 4810,
BEING THE SIGN BY-LAW

MOVED by Ald. Rankin,
SECONDED by Ald. Volrich,
THAT the By-law be introduced and read a first time.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

The By-law was read a first time and the Presiding Officer
declared the By-law open for discussion and amendments.

There being no amendments, it was

MOVED by Ald. Rankin,
SECONDED by Ald. Volrich,
THAT the By-law be given second and third readings and the
Mayor and City Clerk be authorized to sign and seal the By-law.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

3. BY-LAW TO AMEND BY-LAW NO. 3575,
BEING THE ZONING AND DEVELOPMENT
BY-LAW (N/E Corner McGill and
Penticton Streets)

MOVED by Ald. Cowie,
SECONDED by Ald. Boyce,
THAT the By-law be introduced and read a first time.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

The By-law was read a first time and the Presiding Officer
declared the By-law open for discussion and amendments.

There being no amendments, it was

MOVED by Ald. Cowie,
SECONDED by Ald. Boyce,
THAT the By-law be given second and third readings and the
Mayor and City Clerk be authorized to sign and seal the By-law.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(Alderman Rankin was excused from voting on this By-law)

Regular Council, October 26, 1976 9

MOTIONS

1. Family Housing

MOVED by Ald. Kennedy,
SECONDED by Ald. Sweeney,

THAT WHEREAS housing for families is not sufficiently available in new constructions in all parts of the City;

AND WHEREAS the financing of new residential construction (with respect to the public sector) is primarily the concern of C.M.H.C. and the Provincial Ministry of Housing;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT these senior levels of government be asked to develop criteria which would relate financing to agreed quotas of housing suitable for families in all appropriate modes of house building.

- LOST

(Aldermen Cowie, Harcourt, Marzari, Rankin and Volrich opposed)

A tie vote having resulted, the motion by Alderman Kennedy was declared LOST.

2. Police Department -
Courtesy Cars

Alderman Rankin requested and received permission to withdraw his motion as follows:

THAT WHEREAS the Vancouver Police Department has accepted a donation of courtesy cars with advertising from private car dealers;

AND WHEREAS these cars are used by the Police Department and Officers on official duty;

AND WHEREAS circumstances could arise prejudicial to and in conflict with the interest of the general public because of the acceptance of these donations from private car dealers;

AND WHEREAS these private cars carry the advertising of private entrepreneurs, leading members of the general public to believe that the Vancouver Police Department and the City of Vancouver fully and exclusively endorse these private companies;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT Vancouver City Council request the Vancouver Police Commission to order the courtesy cars returned to the private dealers.

(Withdrawn)

- - - - -

The Council recessed at approximately 4:00 p.m. to reconvene 'In Camera' in No. 3 Committee Room.

- - - - -

The foregoing are Minutes of the Regular Council Meeting of October 26, 1976, adopted on November 9, 1976.

A. Phillips
MAYOR

B. J. L. L.
CITY CLERK

Manager's Report, October 22, 1976 (WORKS - 1)

WORKS & UTILITY MATTERS
CITY ENGINEER'S REPORT

RECOMMENDATIONS:

1. Watermain Installations - 1976 Capital Budget

The City Engineer reports as follows:

"Watermain installations or replacements are required as follows:

WATERWORKS PROJECT 614

<u>Streets</u>	<u>From</u>	<u>To</u>
Keefer Street	Main Street	Gore Avenue
Georgia Street	Gore Avenue	270 ft. west
8th Avenue	Prince Edward Street	St. George Street
Sasamat Street	4th Avenue	265 ft. north

Estimated cost: \$65,000

WATERWORKS PROJECT 615

10th Avenue	Granville Street	Spruce Street
-------------	------------------	---------------

Estimated cost: \$68,000

The Keefer, Georgia and 10th Avenue installations are required to improve local service pressures by replacing old 4-inch cast iron mains of inadequate carrying capacity.

The 8th Avenue and Sasamat installations are needed to improve on-street fire protection by replacing old 4-inch pipe and by grid completion, respectively.

Funds for both projects, totalling \$133,000, are available from Waterworks Capital Account #128/7908, 'Uncompleted Design - 1976 Unappropriated'.

I RECOMMEND that Projects 614 and 615 be approved for construction and that the required \$133,000 be appropriated for this work from the 1976 Waterworks Capital Budget, Account #128/7908, 'Uncompleted Design - Unappropriated'."

The City Manager RECOMMENDS that the foregoing be approved.

2. Lease of Portion of Lane South of McGill East from Penticton Street Abutting Lots 1 and 2 of Lot 829, T.H.S.L., Plan 16357

The City Engineer reports as follows:

"An application has been received from the owner of Lots 1 and 2 of Lot 829, T.H.S.L., Plan 16357, to lease the 10-foot strip of lane north of his property. This 10-foot strip of lane was dedicated at the time Lots 1 and 2 were created and will not be required for lane purposes in the near future, as the 10-foot strips in the balance of the block have yet to be acquired. The applicant wishes to use this portion of lane for rear access to Lots 1 and 2.

I RECOMMEND that the 10-foot strip of lane abutting the northerly limit of Lots 1 and 2 of Lot 829, T.H.S.L., Plan 16357, be closed, stopped up and an agreement, in accordance with the Encroachment By-Law, be entered into giving the owner of Lots 1 and 2 use of the 10-foot strip for access purposes subject to the following conditions:

- (a) There be no annual charge for the encroachment.
- (b) No structure to be erected on the area."

The City Manager RECOMMENDS that the foregoing report be approved.

A-2

MANAGER'S REPORT, October 22, 1976 (SOCIAL - 1)

SOCIAL SERVICE AND HEALTH MATTERS

RECOMMENDATION

1. Canadian Telephone Survey of Febrile Respiratory Disease

Your Medical Health Officer reports as follows:

"The Department of National Health and Welfare (Health Protection Branch, Laboratory Centre for Disease Control) has submitted the following protocol for Canadian telephone survey of Febrile Respiratory disease:

Purpose-

To give weekly estimates of the incidence of influenza-like illness in the population and thereby act as a warning system for influenza activity.

Objectives-

To establish a baseline of acute respiratory disease in the population before the start of the influenza season.

To add weekly totals during the season and compare them with the established baseline.

Methodology-

Panels of households would be selected in the following areas:-

St. John's
Halifax
Quebec City
Ottawa
Winnipeg
Saskatoon
Edmonton
Vancouver

- 200 families would be randomly selected in each area from the telephone directory.

Results-

National compilation of results will be made available on a weekly basis through the influenza weekly report.

The Health Department is anxious to participate in this cross Canada reporting system and will derive benefit from the weekly reports of the National compilation of results. The Department of National Health and Welfare requires a contract and is providing \$1200.00 to aid in offsetting expenses.

We have successfully recruited a number of retired health professionals to conduct the survey from their homes on a voluntary basis. There will therefore be no cost to the city in respect of this project.

Because we have been able to obtain the services of volunteers it is proposed to establish in the City's miscellaneous account a discretionary fund of \$1,200 (paid by the department of National Health and Welfare) to enable the Medical Health Officer to cover occasional costs to facilitate all volunteers services.

Continued on Page 2. . . .

MANAGER'S REPORT, October 22, 1976 (SOCIAL - 2)

Clause No. 1 Continued

Your Medical Health Officer recommends that:

- A. the Health Department be authorized to take part in the Canadian Telephone Survey of Febrile Respiratory Disease;
- B. the Medical Health Officer enter into a contract with the Department of National Health and Welfare to carry out the survey;
- C. Funds in the amount of \$1200.00 be authorized for the recognition of volunteer services, at the discretion of the Medical Health Officer, to be offset by the amount of \$1200.00 to be received from the Federal Government to cover the volunteer services for the Canadian Telephone Survey of Febrile Respiratory Disease, so that there will be no additional cost to the City.

The City Manager RECOMMENDS that the foregoing report of the Medical Health Officer be approved.

FOR COUNCIL ACTION SEE PAGE(S) 283.

Manager's Report, October 22, 1976 (BUILDING - 1)

BUILDING & PLANNING MATTERS

CONSIDERATION

1. 1435 East 14th Avenue - Development
Permit Application #74519 - Apartment
Building for Coast Foundation Society

The Director of Planning reports as follows:

"Mr. J.L. Bancroft, Architect, has filed Development Permit Application #74519 on behalf of the Coast Foundation Society, to construct an apartment building containing 17 dwelling units to be used for housing ex-psychiatric patients.

The site is located on the north side of 14th Avenue between Woodland Drive and Clark Drive and is in an RT-2 Two Family Dwelling District. The construction of an apartment building in the RT-2 District is a conditional use requiring the approval of the Director of Planning.

History

City Council on March 23, 1976, approved the sale of the land to the Coast Foundation Society subject to:

- 'a) price agreed upon by the City
- b) obtaining a development permit
- c) consolidation of property
- d) entering into necessary agreement with respect to easements, bulkheads, etc.
- e) entering into agreement providing an option to the City to re-purchase at originally agreed price if development does not commence within two (2) years of date of sale, the date of sale being the date Council approved the purchase price.'

The report dealing with the sale of the land also noted:

'Much of the other land that was once city-owned along this drainage course has been developed under the conditional use provisions of the RT-2 zoning schedule. Physically, these developments fit in very well with the community and it is felt that the proposal of the Coast Foundation could do likewise. However, previous developments include 4 non-profit seniors buildings and one church. For obvious reasons a development housing ex-psychiatric patients may not experience equal community acceptance. As well, parking is perceived to be a problem by the residents of the general area and this, too, could lead to conflict with the community.

The alternative use for this parcel is sale for construction of duplexes. However, taking into account the fact that there are a number of successful RT-2 conditional developments in the community, it is felt this proposal could be appropriate to the area. Questions of appropriateness, parking requirements and so on would be dealt with through the Development Permit process. If, following official notification, the community is strongly against this proposal, then a further report would be forwarded to Council in order that a final decision could be made.'

Manager's Report, October 22, 1976 (BUILDING - 2)

Clause#1 continued:

Proposed Development

The drawings submitted with the application indicate the form of the proposed development would be as follows:

	<u>Permitted or Required</u>	<u>Proposed</u>
Height	2 storeys plus cellar	2 storeys plus cellar
Yards		
- front	24'	25'
- side (east)	7'	8'
containing angle	135 deg.	134 deg.
- side (west)	7'	9.9'
containing angle	135 deg.	133 deg.
- rear	35'	41'
Daylight access	50/70 deg.	50/70 deg. +
F.S.R.	0.75	0.75
Site Coverage	40%	39.2%
Site Area	10,000 sq. ft.	12,100 sq. ft.
Off-street parking	13	3
Dwelling Unit (minimum size)	400 sq. ft.	369 sq. ft. - 14 units 386 sq. ft. - 1 unit 496 sq. ft. - 2 units

The applicants are requesting a parking relaxation because they state that the occupants would not normally be driving cars.

Design

The Urban Design Panel considered this application at its meeting held on August 19, 1976, noting:

'The Panel considered the design too institutional, sterile and dull. The design should have more residential character to blend with the neighbouring buildings. The lack of privacy and proper landscaping were criticised. Use of pitch roof and provision of protection over doors to patios should be some of the improvements to be considered.

Recommendation: That the above be received as a progress report and the design be referred back to the applicant for improvement noting the Panel's comments.'

Notification of Neighbouring Property Owners

213 neighbouring property owners were notified of the proposed development by letter dated August 17, 1976.

62 of the above notified property owners responded indicating their objections. In addition, 37 persons not located in the notification area replied objecting. (See Map - Appendix I)

The form of replies was:

- i) 96 names on petition
- ii) 15 letters of objection

(NOTE - That the objectors replying by letter also signed the petition)

Manager's Report, October 22, 1976 (BUILDING - 3)

Clause #1 continued:

Major objections raised included:

security of the neighbouring residents; increased traffic congestion and concern that the Cedar Cottage Area is not suitable for the housing of ex-mental patients.

Social Planning

The Social Planning Department submitted the following after reviewing this application:

'The Coast Foundation has received civic grants for the past three years for various aspects of their service. As the grant applications are reviewed and evaluated by us, we are familiar with the agency and have been impressed by all aspects of its staff, programs, research, administration and community support. The Bute Street apartment block has been operating since 1974 and as far as we can ascertain there have been no problems with the community. I have spoken with Ann Geddes, Co-ordinator of the West End Community Care Team (Mental Health) and Dr. McQueen, Psychiatrist with the City Health Department. Both are extremely supportive of the apartment approach with backup programs as provided by the Coast Foundation. Coast has worked with mental health professionals in screening applicants and in following their progress. No one is accepted as a tenant if they have any criminal, suicidal, alcoholic or drug history.

Evaluations of the effects of this type of apartment living on ex-psychiatric patients were positive and show marked improvement in most tenants. Based on what we know of Coast Foundation and the experience of the Bute Street Apartment Block we strongly recommend approval of this application.'

Following the results of notification, the Social Planning Department also noted:

'Your memo indicates that in response to the notification of property owners 28% replied objecting to the development.

I was able to reach by phone 9 of the objecting property owners. Of that nine, two spoke no English and another one not enough English to understand what I wanted. None of the other six understood accurately the nature of the proposed development. They thought it was to be a Halfway House for persons coming directly from institutions. This is incorrect. The apartment is not a rehabilitation or treatment centre. The residents will have lived in the community for at least 4 years and must not have any history of violence, drugs or alcohol.

A development such as this is bound to raise objections no matter where in the City it is located. Although I sympathize with the property owners who have voiced fears, I think that the best way to alleviate this fear is by integrating the ex-mental patient into the community. Coast Foundation has been operating a similar apartment in the West End for several years without incident. Most of the neighbours in the area do not realize that there is anything 'different' about the Coast tenants.'

Area Planning Committee

The Cedar Cottage Area Planning Office submitted the following summary of a meeting held on July 29, 1976, attended by 6 residents of the Cedar Cottage Area, Planning Department Staff, Mental Health Personnel:

'In conclusion, it was decided by the residents present, that the Coast Foundation Application is opposed by the neighbourhood on the grounds that there are too many other social problems in Cedar Cottage to be resolved before additional social problems can be accepted. Until such time that there is more assistance given to this community to resolve the existing problems, the application is rejected.'

Cont'd . . .

Manager's Report, October 22, 1976 (BUILDING - 4)

Clause #1 continued:

The Cedar Cottage Planning Office recommended that a final decision regarding this application be referred to Council due to the conflict of inputs, i.e.

- i) strong objections raised by local residents
- ii) positive support expressed by professional consultants.

The Director of Planning at his meeting of September 20, 1976, indicated that he is prepared to approve this development, subject to design revisions to accommodate the Urban Design Panel's objections, but due to the numerous objections by the property owners and residents of the Cedar Cottage area, this matter is referred to City Council for their consideration."

The City Manager submits the foregoing report for the CONSIDERATION of City Council.

RECOMMENDATION

2. 4350 Valley Drive - Development Permit
Application #74960

The Director of Planning reports as follows:

"A Development Permit Application has been received from Tusar, Messer, Townley, Matheson, Architects, for Gillespie Investments to construct a townhouse development containing 21 dwelling units.

Site Description

The site is located on Lot 1 at the south-west corner of the CD-1 area which is bounded by Arbutus Street to the east, King Edward Avenue to the north, Valley Drive to the west and Eddington Drive and Yew Street and Nanton Avenue to the south.

History

Following a Public Hearing on September 25, 1969, City Council approved the rezoning of the overall site (including the subject site) bounded by King Edward Avenue, Arbutus Street, Valley Drive, Yew Street and Nanton Avenue from RS-1 One Family Dwelling District to CD-1 Comprehensive Development District, "subject to a scheme of development being submitted through the Director of Planning, for approval by Council."

Subsequent to a further Public Hearing on July 18, 1972, City Council amended the CD-1 By-law covering this south-west corner of the site to include a Personal Care Home/Private Hospital. Council at that time by resolution attached a condition 'that the gross floor space ratio for the site not to generally exceed 0.67 with Personal Care Home/Private Hospital portion of the development not to generally exceed 0.45.'

The site was subdivided into seven lots, providing:

Lot 1 (Subject site)	- 5.4 acres	Personal Care Home & Private Hospital (Medical Services only)
Lot 2	- 7.0 acres	Commercial facilities
Lot 3	- 3.0 acres	Residential
Lot 4	- 4.3 acres	Residential
Lot 5	- 5.7 acres	Residential
Lot 6	- 3.5 acres	City Park
Lot 7	- 5.8 acres	Residential

Cont'd . . .

Manager's Report, October 22, 1976 (BUILDING - 5)

Clause #2 continued:

A Personal Care Home development has now been completed on the eastern portion of Lot 1.

The applicant does not now wish to proceed with the hospital development and has filed this development permit application to construct a townhouse development on the remainder of Lot 1.

Proposed Development

The applicant's drawings submitted with the application indicate the construction of a two-storey plus cellar townhouse development containing 21 dwelling units and underground parking facilities with access from Valley Drive. The floor space ratio as now proposed on Lot 1, including the Personal Care Home, would be 0.556 (excluding storage)(0.534 for the Personal Care Home building and 0.615 for the proposed townhouse development) in lieu of the previously approved 0.45.

Based on the floor space ratio restrictions as previously approved by Council's resolution on July 18, 1972, the maximum floor area permitted on the remainder of the overall site (lots 2-5 & 7) is 803,154 sq. ft. If the previously approved floor area for the remainder of the site is maintained, the additional floor area proposed by the new townhouse development would increase the floor space ratio on the overall site to 0.69.

Notification

116 neighbouring property owners were notified of the proposed development. 18 of the notified property owners responded indicating their objections. Major objections raised were to the proposed increase in density and the anticipated increased traffic congestion.

Design

The Urban Design Panel considered this application at its meeting held on August 19, 1976 and recommended 'that this design be approved'.

Recommendation

The Director of Planning has reviewed this development permit application and recommends that an amendment be made to Council's previous resolution to permit this form of development on this site, subject to the following conditions:

- (a) The gross floor space ratio for Lot 1 to be increased from 0.45 to 0.556 (0.534 for the Personal Care Home building and 0.615 for the proposed townhouse development).
- (b) The gross floor space ratio on the overall site (lots 1-5 & 7) be increased from 0.67 to 0.69.

Further, that in consideration of the objections received following notification of neighbouring property owners Council may wish to re-notify the neighbouring property owners and allow for an opportunity for delegations to be heard."

The City Manager RECOMMENDS that the recommendation of the Director of Planning be approved.

Cont'd . . .

Manager's Report, October 22, 1976 (BUILDING - 6)

3. Heritage Building Alterations - Marine Building

The Director of Planning reports as follows:

"An application was made for alterations to the Marine Building on August 5, 1976. The proposed alterations include changes to the exterior of the structure which entail replacing a window on the Hastings Street facade with a door and a small window with a night deposit box. The Marine Building is a designated heritage structure (By-law passed March 1976) and as such any exterior alterations require approval of City Council.

At its September 13, 1976 meeting the Heritage Advisory Committee considered and approved the proposed alterations. They commended the architects 'for their careful consideration in maintaining the architectural character of the proposed exterior alterations on the Hastings facade of the Marine Building.'

The Development Permit Board considered and approved the other proposed changes to the building at its meeting of October 12, 1976.

RECOMMENDATION

It is RECOMMENDED therefore that the proposed alterations for the Marine Building as covered by Development Permit Application #74949 be approved."

The City Manager RECOMMENDS that the recommendation of the Director of Planning be approved.

CONSIDERATION

4. Possible Order to Demolish - 821 Drake Street

On October 21, 1975, City Council, when considering the City Manager's report, passed the following motion:

'THAT the owners of 821 Drake Street be advised that it is the City's intention to demolish the building at 821 Drake Street and, in this regard, a formal motion be prepared and submitted to Council by the Director of Legal Services.'

On April 27, 1976, Mrs. B. Shillabeer addressed Council and advised of the problem she was having on repairing the premises. After hearing Mrs. Shillabeer, Council resolved:

'THAT this whole matter on the condition of 821 Drake Street be deferred for 90 days and therefore no enforcement action be taken by City officials at this time.'

The Director of Permits and Licenses now reports as follows:

"Reinspection of the building on July 29, 1976, and October 19, 1976 found that the building is still in the same condition but is kept secure. There is no evidence that any alterations or repairs have been made to the building. It is now noted that there has been deterioration to the front and rear porches, pantry and chimney and these should be repaired. It should be pointed out, however, that the repairing of the porches, chimney and pantry would not alleviate the unkempt condition of the building."

The City Manager submits the report of the Director of Permits and Licenses for Council's CONSIDERATION.

DELEGATION REQUEST: Mrs. B. Shillabeer.

Cont'd . . .

Manager's Report, October 22, 1976 (BUILDING - 7)

RECOMMENDATION

5. Text Amendment to CD-1 By-law 4918 -
Enclave 1, Champlain Heights

The Director of Planning reports as follows:

"On November 25, 1975, Council passed an amendment to the Zoning and Development By-law thereby rezoning Lot 6, D. L. 339, Plan 16028 (Enclave #1) from RS-1 to CD-1. The CD-1 by-law permits one family dwellings and customary ancillary uses including off-street parking subject to such conditions as Council may by resolution prescribe. (See Appendix A for By-law #4918)

The intent of this rezoning was to permit an innovative single family residential development. The project is to be a small lot zero side yard concept developed at higher than normal density.

On August 10, 1976, Council approved the sale of this site to Daon Developments. The proposal submitted with the Daon bid indicated 70 single family homes on small lots.

In order to maximize indoor and outdoor living space in a small lot development care and attention must be given to all aspects of design. One such aspect involves off-street parking requirements.

The developer, in his preliminary development proposal, is proposing a scheme which includes some "tandem" parking. That is, off-street parking where two cars are parked one in front of the other with ingress/egress restricted to the vehicle parked closest to the street. This innovative parking concept permits more efficient use of outdoor living space, facilitates additional on-street parking in a development which includes small mini-lots (30' frontage) without secondary access and generally improves the streetscape by allowing greater variation in unit design.

In order to give the Director of Planning flexibility in the processing of Development Permit Applications and consideration of new concepts it is proposed that the minimum off-street parking requirement for Enclave 1, Champlain Heights be reduced to 1.5 spaces per dwelling unit. It is noted that this does not necessarily mean that the off-street parking requirement will be provided at the minimum.

The Director of Planning RECOMMENDS that the following recommendation be received and the whole matter referred direct to a Public Hearing after a report from the Vancouver City Planning Commission.

THAT the figure "2.0" of Clause 6 of the CD-1 By-law #4918 be deleted and the following figure "1.5" be substituted in lieu thereof."

The City Manager RECOMMENDS that the recommendation of the Director of Planning be approved.

FOR COUNCIL ACTION SEE PAGE(S) 283-285

Manager's Report, October 22, 1976 (FINANCE - 1)

FINANCE MATTERS

RECOMMENDATION

1. Q.E.T. Reorganization

In anticipation of future staff requirements to operate the Orpheum Theatre, the Theatre Manager and the Administrative Analyst have reviewed the administrative operations relating to future Theatre operations. It is their proposal that, until such time as both the Orpheum Theatre and the Q.E.T. are heavily booked, the staff complement be increased by one person. Accommodating this person in the present office space will require some alterations and renovations.

The present organization of the administrative staff, as established in 1963, is shown in the attached appendix. The stenographic position was reclassified in February, 1976, partially as a result of increased responsibilities associated with the Orpheum Theatre opening. At the present time, evening duties in the Theatre are rotated between the Theatre Manager, the Assistant Manager and the senior clerk.

When the Orpheum Theatre has opened, there will be numerous occasions when both Theatres are booked. The provision of adequate staffing to cope with the evening supervisory duties will be critical. It is proposed to increase the complement by the addition of one clerical position at this time so that the new employee will have received adequate training prior to the opening of the Orpheum Theatre. The increased staff level will also be of some assistance to the Theatre Manager during what will be an extremely busy period.

The City Architect advises that the addition of another staff person in the present Theatre Offices will be difficult and aggravate an already inefficient office layout. The office requires extensive alterations which will cost approximately \$25,000 based on preliminary investigations.

The Theatre Manager and the Administrative Analyst RECOMMEND:

- A. That an additional clerk be added to the complement of the Queen Elizabeth Theatre at an annual cost, including fringe benefits, of \$14,000 (\$2,300 in 1976), subject to classification by Director of Personnel Services.
- B. That the City Architect be authorized to proceed with renovations and alterations to the Q.E.T. office premises at a cost of approximately \$25,000.

The Comptroller of Budgets advises that if the above recommendations are approved, source of funds will be Contingency Reserve.

The City Manager RECOMMENDS approval of the foregoing recommendations.

INFORMATION

2. North Fraser Harbour Commission - 1975 Audited Financial Statements

The Director of Finance reports as follows:

"The audited statements of the North Fraser Harbour Commission for the year ended December 31, 1975 have been received and a copy is available in the Director of Finance's office.

The surplus for the year 1975 is reported as \$153,359 (1974 - \$293,752) which results in retained earnings of the Commission equalling \$981,059 after transfer of \$200,000 to their reserve for recreation and environment development.

Cont'd . . .

Manager's Report, October 22, 1976 (FINANCE - 2)

Clause #2 continued:

In 1974 and 1975 City Council along with Burnaby and Richmond came to an agreement with the North Fraser Harbour Commission whereby the Commission allocated \$100,000 to their reserve for recreation and environment development and agreed in principle to the requests of the Mayors for the allocation of an additional amount up to \$200,000 over the next three to five year period. The Commission has now allocated the entire \$300,000 in accordance with their agreement.

The North Fraser Harbour Commissioners in 1975 were declared to be a commission established pursuant to the Harbour Commissions Act, under the corporate name of the North Fraser Harbour Commission, by Federal Order in Council."

The City Manager submits the above report of the Director of Finance for the INFORMATION of Council.

RECOMMENDATION

3. Tenders for City Pound

The Director of Permits and Licenses reports as follows:

"On April 27, 1976 Council approved a report in which it was recommended that Council:

- '1) Direct the Supervisor of Property & Insurance to proceed with the survey and re-subdivision of the parcel of land at the north-east corner of Raymur & Malkin for a Pound site and transfer the site to the Pound for the sum of \$252,500, the proceeds from the sale of the old site to be applied against this sum.
- 2) Approve the preliminary budget for the City Pound as revised to \$600,650, the additional funds in the amount of \$157,650 to be provided in the 1976 Supplementary Capital.'

The design for the new Pound facility at Raymur and Malkin has been completed and public tenders on the work were requested.

The following Contractors submitted tenders:

Dewey DeVries Construction Ltd.	\$382,755*
Paramount Construction Co.(1968) Ltd.	\$396,562
Dogwood Construction Ltd.	\$397,000*
Wales McLelland Construction Co. Ltd.	\$399,999*
Manson Bros. Construction Ltd.	\$409,596*
Turnbull & Gale Construction Co. Ltd.	\$436,487
Bruhn & Jensen Construction Co. Ltd.	\$443,149
Hallcraft Construction Co. Ltd.	\$459,520

*Hydro connection charge is not included. Some bidders felt that there was some uncertainty about the amount of the B. C. Hydro electrical connection charge, and qualified their bids by specifically excluding it. This does not, however, affect the bidding order, and in the view of the Architect, the Law Department, and ourselves does not constitute sufficient grounds for disqualification.

All tenders were accompanied by the required bid bond.

The total project cost is estimated to be \$580,255 as follows:

Land	\$118,200
Demolition of existing structures	4,000
Building Contract	382,755
Architect's & Consultants' Fees	36,300
Furniture	16,000
Hydro, Telephone & Communications	14,000
Permits, Legal Notices, Insurance & Misc.	4,000
Contingencies	5,000
	<hr/>
	\$580,255

Cont'd . . .

Manager's Report, October 22, 1976 (FINANCE - 3)

Clause #3 continued:

The Director of Finance advises that \$600,650 has been provided for in the 1976 Capital Budget. The balance of the funding in the amount of \$20,395 is left unallocated, reserved for possible development of the boulevards (one of which is 78' wide) and final completion of the project. This will be the subject of a report to Council at a later date.

It is recommended that Council:

- A. Accept the low tender of Dewey DeVries Construction Ltd., and enter into a contract with them in the amount of \$387,755, such contract to be satisfactory to the Director of Legal Services.
- B. Authorize appropriations totalling \$580,255 as defined in the foregoing estimate, from funds previously approved by Council.
- C. Approve the return of the bid bonds to the unsuccessful bidders."

The City Manager RECOMMENDS that the foregoing recommendations of the Director of Permits and Licenses be approved.

FOR COUNCIL ACTION SEE PAGE(S) 285

A-9

Manager's Report, October 22, 1976 (PROPERTIES - 1)

PROPERTY MATTERSINFORMATION1. Demolition - 1220 Raymur Avenue

The Supervisor of Property & Insurance reports as follows:

"I have received and opened quotations from various contractors for demolition of the structure listed below and have awarded the contract to the low bidder as noted:-

<u>Property</u>	<u>Project</u>	<u>Successful Bidder</u>
1220 Raymur Ave. Lots 1 to 7, Block 117, D.L. 181 South Portion of Warehouse	New Dog Pound	Johnston & McKinnon Demolitions Ltd.

<u>City to Pay</u>	<u>Code No.</u>
\$425.00	431/1710

The City Manager has confirmed the above contract and submits the foregoing report of the Supervisor of Property & Insurance to Council for INFORMATION."

RECOMMENDATION2. Burrard Street Widening. 1800 - 1808 West 5th Avenue

The Supervisor of Property and Insurance reports as follows:

"Reference is made to the Manager's Report (Fire and Traffic) dated June 11, 1976 wherein one of the recommendations approved by City Council on June 15, 1976 was that the Supervisor of Property & Insurance be instructed to negotiate for properties needed for Burrard Street widening. The owner of Lot 10, except the south 40 feet, Block 267, D.L. 526, Plan 590, being 1800 - 1808 West 5th Avenue has agreed to convey the east seven feet thereof as shown on Plan of Subdivision marginally numbered LE 4424 on the following terms:

- (a) Loss of land (560 square feet) \$11,200.00
- (b) City Engineer to build retaining wall on new property line, relocate existing ornamental iron fence on top of said wall, also relocate small sign.

Recommended that the Supervisor of Property & Insurance be authorized to acquire that portion of the above property required for road purposes as shown on Plan marginally numbered LE 4424 on the foregoing basis, chargeable to Code # 146/5921 "

The City Manager RECOMMENDS that the foregoing recommendation of the Supervisor of Property & Insurance be approved.

DISTRIBUTED MONDAY

303

PART REPORT TO COUNCIL STANDING COMMITTEE OF COUNCIL ON COMMUNITY SERVICES

I

OCTOBER 21, 1976

A meeting of the Standing Committee of Council on Community Services was held on Thursday, October 21, 1976, in Committee Room No. 1, Third Floor, City Hall, at approximately 3:30 P.M.

PRESENT: Alderman Rankin, Chairman
Alderman Marzari
Alderman Sweeney

ABSENT: Alderman Boyce
Alderman Volrich

COMMITTEE CLERK: H. Dickson

RECOMMENDATION

1. Cordova House

The Committee had before it for consideration a Manager's Report dated October 19, 1976 (copy circulated) in which the Supervisor of Property & Insurance reported on the operation of the Cordova House, a "Hard to House" facility, which opened on September 7, 1976. The facility can accommodate 70 persons but at present has 22 residents.

The report pointed out that a current concern is the increasing concentration of people with social-behavioural problems which is developing situations hazardous to both tenants and staff which, together with the level of supervision at Cordova House, requires careful consideration.

The facility presently has nine staff authorized under the Federal-Provincial Partnership agreement. These nine staff serve the housing function of the facility only.

The Supervisor of Property & Insurance also pointed out in the Manager's Report that if it is intended to proceed under the existing concept of providing accommodation for the "Hard to House", with priority given to those having the greatest need, the Health Department advises that it is mandatory that Cordova House be licensed under the Community Care Facilities Act when 15 or more tenants are housed who are receiving social assistance and when this type of supervisory care is provided. Under the Act, 14 staff are required for 70 tenants in addition to administrative and janitorial staff, or a total **staff complement** of 19 persons.

Appended to the Manager's Report were lists of comparative annual costs for four different concepts of operation of Cordova House, including operating the facility as an Adult Care establishment.

The appendix on this Adult Care type of operation included a statement "details such as staff qualifications, type of care required, medical services, or other additional costs have not yet been determined".

Continued on Page 2.

Clause No. 1 Continued

Appearing before the Committee on this matter were the Administrative Analyst from the City Manager's office, the Supervisor of Property & Insurance, the Medical Health Officer, the Director of Social Planning, the manager of the Facility, representatives of various social service agencies in the Downtown eastside area, and Mr. David Schreck, Manager of the Vancouver Resources Board.

During discussion, it was pointed out that the facility is filling up with tenants at the usual rate for such an establishment; that a relatively slow moving in rate was also experienced with the Continental Hotel.

The Medical Health Officer pointed out that as some of the new residents in Cordova House are classified as "incontinent", consequently, a more intensified level of care, such as a personal or adult care home, is required, if possible under the existing agreement with the Federal and Provincial agencies.

During discussion, it was noted that the Mayor had already written the Minister of Human Resources requesting his consideration of having Cordova House classified for funding as an Adult Care Facility (letter dated October 18, 1976 appended to the Manager's Report).

Mr. Schreck of the Vancouver Resources Board pointed out that if the Human Resources Department does approve of the facility as a personal or Adult Care establishment, then the Vancouver Resources Board could contribute additional subsidies per resident, depending upon their classification.

The suggestion was made that the Mayor should write the Minister of Housing, whose department is a party to the existing agreement, and request that either the Minister ask C.M.H.C. to honour its commitment to fund the facility as a "Hard to House establishment (the City contracted with the Federal-Provincial Partnership to fund the lodge as a "Hard to House" facility on the basis of full cost recovery to the City from the partnership); or that he (the Minister of Housing) ask the Minister of Human Resources to approve the operation for funding as an Adult Care facility.

It was noted during discussion that the Premier recently announced increased rates to personal care and private hospitals which could mean additional revenue (subsidies) for residents, depending on their classification or level of care. (Note: Proposal No. 4 has revenue based on 15 residents subsidized to \$500.00 per month, 40 at \$340.00 per month and 15 at \$50.00 per month.)

Details on the rate level, personal care requirements, and number of placements expected would have to be investigated and confirmed, it was noted from Page 3 of the Manager's Report.

The Committee noted that Operating Proposal No. 4, to license the establishment as an Adult Care Facility, seems to come closest to what is required and the observation was made that the deficit involved in such an operation might be reduced by the recently announced increased subsidies for personal care homes.

On the matter of interim operating costs, it was noted that Council, on August 24, 1976, authorized an amount of \$23,000.00 from the Contingency Reserve Fund to subsidize staffing and meal service at Cordova House for six months with a review and report back to Council in three months.

Clause No. 1 Continued

Following further discussion and based on a number of procedures proposed by the Director of Social Planning, the Committee

RECOMMENDS

- A. THAT Council declare the Cordova House as an Adult Care Facility.
- B. THAT there be a minimum of 19 staff assigned to Cordova House.
- C. THAT meals be provided three times per day to residents of Cordova House.
- D. THAT the City's Property and Insurance Division continue to manage the facility.
- E. THAT the Mayor write the Minister of Housing requesting that either the Minister ask C.M.H.C. to honour its commitment to fund Cordova House as an "Adult Care" establishment (i.e. on the basis of full cost recovery to the City from the Partnership) or that the Minister of Housing ask the Minister of Human Resources to approve the operation of Cordova House for funding as an Adult Care Facility.
- F. THAT the Manager of the Vancouver Resources Board assist City officials in negotiating the financing for the operation of Cordova House with the Minister of Human Resources in the event that the Federal-Provincial Partnership does not participate financially at a full cost recovery to the City level.
- G. THAT the Medical Health Officer take the necessary steps to have Cordova House licensed as an Adult Care Facility.
- H. THAT the Supervisor of Property and Insurance report **back, as required**, on progress on cost-sharing negotiations and the interim financing.

FOR COUNCIL ACTION SEE PAGE(S) 286